

E907 MIPP – Fermilab MOU

**Peter Barnes
Physics and Advanced Technology
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory**



Fermilab, 9 November 2002

Outline of Draft E907 MIPP – Fermilab MOU



1. Introduction

- Scope, Definitions, Disclaimers
 - “not legally binding, currently satisfactory, can be modified at any time . . .”

2. Personnel By Institution

- Only Columbia, Chicago, Los Alamos unverified.

3. Experimental Areas and Beams

- 120 GeV/c slow spill protons
- 5–120 GeV/c ($\pi K p$) $^\pm$ tagged
- Up to 3×10^{11} / 1 sec slow spill
- “Double slow spill” every 3 secs
- Minimum 4×10^5 spills $\left(\frac{\text{Single Slow Spill}}{10 \text{ Cycles}} \times 3400 \text{ hours} \right)$
- Run up to MINOS commissioning



4. Schedule and Cost

- Extracted from Cost and Schedule Plan

5. Institutional Responsibilities

- Only Columbia, Chicago, Los Alamos missing.

6. Fermilab Responsibilities

2. Beams

- Slow extraction, MC5 & MC6 beam line
- MI development shifts for double slow spill
- Deliver 7 “data points” of beam (defined in proposal, 7 comes from PAC presentation)

3. Computing

- Continue to support required packages
- Network bandwidth to enstore
- Mass storage, fnalulogins
- cpu cycles
- PREP modules
- “ODE support” (*added in discussion*)



6. Fermilab Responsibilities (cont.)

4. PPD

- Half of Leon Beverly's time
- \$100K (direct) in each of FY02

- MC7, PK045, utilities
- Detector systems for life of the experiment
- Wilson Hall office space for n people (non-Fermilab collaborators)

7. Special Considerations

- Fermilab boilerplate



Office Space

Institution	People	Offices
• BNL	1	
• Colorado	1	1
• Columbia	1	
• Elmhurst	1	
• Chicago	1	
• Harvard	3	2
• Illinois IT	3	1
• Indiana	3	1
• Livermore	10	5
• Los Alamos	?	
• Michigan	4	1
• Purdue	2	
• South Carolina	4	2
• Virginia	4	1
Total	38	14